Probability Functional Descent: A Unifying Perspective on GANs, VI, and RL

Casey Chu <caseychu@stanford.edu> Jose Blanchet Peter Glynn







Variational inference



Reinforcement learning

 π







StyleGAN (Karras et al. 2018)

GANs can generate incredibly realistic images!



 $\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}}[\log D_{\phi}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{N}}[\log(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(z)))]$

Variational inference

$$p(z|x) = \frac{p(x|z) p(z)}{\int p(x|z) p(z) dz}$$

$$\operatorname{KL}(q_{\theta}(z) \parallel p(z|x)) = \log p(x) + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\theta}} \left[\log \frac{q_{\theta}(z)}{p(x|z) p(z)} \right]$$



Variational inference



Reinforcement learning

 π





Generative adversarial networks

Variational inference

Reinforcement learning

Generative adversarial networks

Variational inference

Reinforcement learning

GANs:

The **generator** produces an image. The **discriminator** judges how good the image is.

RL:

The **actor (policy)** produces an action. The **critic (value function)** judges how good the action is.

Generative adversarial networks

Variational inference

Reinforcement learning

RL as inference: Maximum-entropy reinforcement learning can be implemented as a variational inference procedure.

Generative adversarial networks

Variational inference

Reinforcement learning

A lot of the same techniques:

- Reparameterization trick
- Log-derivative trick/REINFORCE
- Stochastic gradient descent
- Neural networks

Generative adversarial networks

Variational inference

Reinforcement learning

There are a surprising number of connections among these fields: is there an underlying explanation?

Generative adversarial networks

We are interested in an optimal generator. The **discriminator** tells us how to improve the **generator**.

Reinforcement learning

We are interested in an optimal policy. The **critic [value function]** tells us how to improve the **actor [policy]**.

Variational inference

We are interested in an optimal approximate posterior. The **ELBO** tells us how to improve the **approximate posterior**.

Generative adversarial networks

We are interested in an optimal generator. The **discriminator** tells us how to improve the **generator**.

Variational inference

We are interested in an optimal approximate posterior. The **ELBO** tells us how to improve the **approximate posterior**.

Reinforcement learning

We are interested in an optimal policy. The **critic [value function]** tells us how to improve the **actor [policy]**.

First-order optimization

We are interested in an optimal value of a variable. The **gradient of the loss function** tells us how to improve the **value of the variable**.

GAN/RL/VI algorithms minimize some loss function, for which the discriminator/value function/ELBO *is* the "gradient"!

GANs minimize the Jensen-Shannon divergence $\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log D_{\phi}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{N}} [\log(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(z)))]$ $\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log D_{\phi}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}} [\log(1 - D_{\phi}(x))]$

GANs minimize the Jensen-Shannon divergence $\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log D_{\phi}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{N}} [\log(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(z)))]$ $\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}}[\log D_{\phi}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[\log(1 - D_{\phi}(x))]$

$$D^*(x) = \frac{p_{\text{data}}(x)}{p_{\text{data}}(x) + p_{\theta}(x)}$$

GANs minimize the Jensen-Shannon divergence $\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log D_{\phi}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{N}} [\log(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(z)))]$ $\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log D_{\phi}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}} [\log(1 - D_{\phi}(x))]$ $\min_{\alpha} \text{JSD}(p_{\text{data}}, p_{\theta})$ $JSD(p,q) = \frac{1}{2}KL(p \parallel \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}q) + \frac{1}{2}KL(q \parallel \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}q)$ Jensen-Shannon divergence

GANs, VI, RL all minimize loss functions

 $J_{\text{GAN}}(p) = \text{JSD}(p_{\text{data}}, p)$

 $J_{\mathrm{VI}}(q) = \mathrm{KL}(q(z) \parallel p(z|x))$ $J_{\rm RL}(\pi) = -\mathbb{E}_{\tau} \left[\sum \gamma^t R_t \right]$

$J:\mathcal{P}(X) ightarrow\mathbb{R}$

Contains *all* probability distributions over elements in X

 $J_{\text{GAN}}(p) = \text{JSD}(p_{\text{data}}, p)$ In the GAN case: X is the space of images $\mathbb{R}^{64 \times 64 \times 3}$ $J:\mathcal{P}(X)\to\mathbb{R}$

Contains *all* probability distributions over elements in X

In the GAN case: P(X) is the space of all distributions over images.

In the VI case: X is the $J_{\mathrm{VI}}(q) = \mathrm{KL}(q(z) \parallel p(z|x))$ space of parameters (z) $J:\mathcal{P}(X)\to\mathbb{R}$

Contains *all* probability distributions over elements in X

In the VI case: P(X) is the space of all distributions over parameters (all possible posteriors).



Contains *all* probability distributions over elements in X

In the RL case: P(X) is the space of all distributions over state-action pairs (≈ all possible policies)

$J:\mathcal{P}(X)\to\mathbb{R}$



The von Mises influence function

$$\nabla J(\mu): X \to \mathbb{R}$$

is the function Ψ , unique up to an additive constant, such that for all distributions v,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \nu}[\Psi(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[\Psi(x)] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{J((1-\epsilon)\mu + \epsilon\nu) - J(\mu)}{\epsilon}$$











$$\nabla J_{\text{GAN}}(p)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p(x)}{p_{\text{data}}(x) + p(x)}$$
$$\nabla J_{\text{VI}}(q)(z) = \log \frac{q(z)}{p(x|z) p(z)}$$
$$\nabla J_{\text{RL}}(\pi)(s, a) = -\frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(Q^{\pi}(s, a) - V^{\pi}(s) \right)$$

$$\nabla J_{\text{GAN}}(p)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p(x)}{p_{\text{data}}(x) + p(x)} \text{ optimal discriminator}$$

$$\nabla J_{\text{VI}}(q)(z) = \log \frac{q(z)}{p(x|z) p(z)} \text{ negative ELBO}$$

$$\nabla J_{\text{RL}}(\pi)(s, a) = -\frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(\frac{Q^{\pi}(s, a) - V^{\pi}(s)}{Q^{\pi}(s, a) - V^{\pi}(s)} \right)$$













Theorem 1 (chain rule):

 $\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\theta}) = \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}} [\Psi(x)]$

where

 $\Psi(x) = \nabla J(\mu_{\theta})(x)$

is the von Mises influence function, treated as **constant** w.r.t. θ .

Theorem 1 (chain rule):

$\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\theta}) = \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}} [\Psi(x)]$

where

 $\Psi(x) = \nabla J(\mu_{\theta})(x)$

is the von Mises influence function, treated as **constant** w.r.t. θ .

 $\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\theta})$ "=" $\nabla_{\mu} J(\mu_{\theta}) \times \nabla_{\theta} \mu_{\theta}$

Theorem 1 (chain rule):

 $\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\theta}) = \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}} [\Psi(x)]$

where

 $\Psi(x) = \nabla J(\mu_{\theta})(x)$

is the von Mises influence function, treated as **constant** w.r.t. θ .

Probability functional descent

- 1. Initialize parameters θ arbitrarily
- 2. Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function:

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) \approx \nabla J(\mu_{\theta})(x)$$

3. Perform the gradient update

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}}[\hat{\Psi}(x)]$$

4. Repeat 2 and 3.

Theorem (chain rule):

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\theta}) = \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}} [\Psi(x)]$$

Probability functional descent

Initialize parameters θ arbitrarily 1.

Repeat 2 and 3.

4.

Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function: 2.

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) \approx \nabla J(\mu_{\theta})(x)$$

 $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{N}} [\nabla_{\theta} \hat{\Psi}(f_{\theta}(z))]$ $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}} [\hat{\Psi}(x) \nabla_{\theta} \log \mu_{\theta}(x)]$ Perform the gradient update 3. $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}} [\hat{\Psi}(x)]$

Theorem (chain rule):

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\mu_{\theta}) = \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}} [\Psi(x)]$$

Probability functional descent (GANs)

- 1. Initialize generator parameters θ arbitrarily
- 2. Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function:

108(1

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) \approx \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x)}{p_{\text{data}}(x) + p_{\theta}(x)}$$

3. Perform the gradient update

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[\hat{\Psi}(x)]$$

4. Repeat 2 and 3.

Probability functional descent (VI)

- 1. Initialize approx. posterior parameters θ arbitrarily
- 2. We can evaluate the von Mises influence function directly:

$$\hat{\Psi}(z) = \log \frac{q_{\theta}(z)}{p(x|z) \, p(z)}$$

3. Perform the gradient update

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\theta}(z)}[\hat{\Psi}(z)]$$

4. Repeat 2 and 3.

Negative ELBO

Probability functional descent (RL)

- Initialize policy parameters θ arbitrarily 1.
- Minimite the Bellman tesidual Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function: 2.

$$\hat{\Psi}(s,a) \approx -\frac{1}{1-\gamma} \big(Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s,a) - V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s) \big) \quad .$$

Perform the gradient update 3.

4.

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d} \pi \left[\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}(a|s)} [\hat{\Psi}(s, a)] \right]$$
Repeat 2 and 3. $d^{\pi(s) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} p_{t}^{\pi(s)}}$

Probability functional descent (RL)

- Initialize policy parameters θ arbitrarily 1.
- Minimite the Bellman (esidual Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function: 2.

$$\hat{\Psi}(s,a) \approx -\frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left(Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s,a) - V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s) \right) \quad .$$

Perform the gradient update 3.

4.

 $\nabla J_{\mathrm{RL}}(\pi)(s)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d} \pi \left[\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi_{\theta}}(a|s) \left[\hat{\Psi}(s,a) \right] \right] \\ \text{Repeat 2 and 3.} \qquad d^{\pi}(s) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} p_{t}^{\pi}(s) \\ \theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{s,a \sim \pi_{\theta}}(s,a) \left[\hat{\Psi}(s,a) \right] \\ \end{array}$$

Probability functional descent

- 1. Initialize parameters θ arbitrarily
- 2. Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function:

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) \approx \nabla J(\mu_{\theta})(x)$$

3. Perform the gradient update

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}}[\hat{\Psi}(x)]$$

4. Repeat 2 and 3.

Lots of algorithms... but PFD "under the hood"!

Generative adversarial networks

- Minimax GAN
- Non-saturating GAN
- Wasserstein GAN
- f-GAN

Variational inference

- Black-box variational inference
- Adversarial variational Bayes

Reinforcement learning

- REINFORCE
- Deep deterministic policy gradient
- Dual actor-critic
- Soft actor-critic

Probability functional descent

1. Initialize parameters θ arbitrarily

Requires some creativity!

2. Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function:

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) \approx \nabla J(\mu_{\theta})(x)$$

3. Perform the gradient update

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}}[\hat{\Psi}(x)]$$

4. Repeat 2 and 3.

Influence function via convex duality

Suppose J is convex. Then:

$$abla J(\mu) = rgmax_{arphi \in \mathcal{C}(X)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [arphi(x)] - J^{\star}(arphi)
ight]$$

where

$$J^\star(arphi) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)} \int arphi \, d\mu - J(\mu)$$

is the **convex conjugate** of J.

Probability functional descent (convex function)

- 1. Initialize parameters θ arbitrarily
- 2. Fit a neural network to the von Mises influence function: $\hat{\Psi}(x) \approx \underset{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(X)}{\arg \max} \left[\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}}[\varphi(x)] - J^{\star}(\varphi) \right]$
- 3. Perform the gradient update

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_{\theta}}[\hat{\Psi}(x)]$$

4. Repeat 2 and 3.

We've recovered a minimax, adversarial game! $\min_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \max_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(X)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[\varphi(x)] - J^{\star}(\varphi) \right]$

Lots of algorithms... but PFD "under the hood"!

Generative adversarial networks

- Minimax GAN*
- Non-saturating GAN*
- Wasserstein GAN*
- f-GAN*

Variational inference

- Black-box variational inference
- Adversarial variational Bayes

Reinforcement learning

- REINFORCE
- Deep deterministic policy gradient
- Dual actor-critic*
- Soft actor-critic

Gradient descent in the space of probability distributions!

Lots of algorithms... but PFD "under the hood"!

Generative adversarial networks

- Minimax GAN*
- Non-saturating GAN*
- Wasserstein GAN*
- f-GAN*

Reinforcement learning

- REINFORCE
- Deep deterministic policy gradient
- Dual actor-critic*
- Soft actor-critic

Variational inference

- Black-box variational inference
- Adversarial variational Bayes

Your field!

• Your algorithm!

Gradient descent in the space of probability distributions!

What is gradient descent really?

Taylor expansion

$$\Delta f \approx \nabla f(x_0) \cdot (x - x_0)$$

Generic descent algorithm: choose *x* such that $\Delta f < 0$.

Gradient descent $x = x_0 - \alpha \nabla f(x_0)$

 $f:\mathbb{R}^n
ightarrow\mathbb{R}$

$$\Delta f \approx \nabla f(x_0) \cdot (-\alpha \nabla f(x_0)) \\ = -\alpha ||\nabla f(x_0)||^2 \\ \leq 0$$

$$J:\mathcal{P}(X)
ightarrow\mathbb{R}$$

Suppose *X* is a finite set with n elements, so that P(X) is simply a subset of \mathbf{R}^n .

Generic descent algorithm: choose **p** such that $\Delta J < 0$.

$$\Delta J \approx \nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0) \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_0)$$

= $\nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0) \cdot \mathbf{p} - J(\mathbf{p}_0) \cdot \mathbf{p}_0$
= $\mathbb{E}_{i \sim \mathbf{p}}[(\nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0))_i] - \mathbb{E}_{i \sim \mathbf{p}_0}[(\nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0))_i]$

 $\Delta J \approx \mathbb{E}_{i \sim \mathbf{p}}[(\nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0))_i] - \mathbb{E}_{i \sim \mathbf{p}_0}[(\nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0))_i]$

Generalize to general sets *X*, not necessarily discrete!

 $\Delta J \approx \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [\nabla J(\mu_0)(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_0} [\nabla J(\mu_0)(x)]$

 $\Delta J \approx \mathbb{E}_{i \sim \mathbf{p}}[(\nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0))_i] - \mathbb{E}_{i \sim \mathbf{p}_0}[(\nabla J(\mathbf{p}_0))_i]$

Generalize to general sets *X*, not necessarily discrete!

The gradient is now a function $abla J(\mu_0):X o \mathbb{R}$

 $\Delta J \approx \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [\nabla J(\mu_0)(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_0} [\nabla J(\mu_0)(x)]$

The von Mises influence function

$$\nabla J(\mu): X \to \mathbb{R}$$

is the function Ψ , unique up to an additive constant, such that for all v,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \nu}[\Psi(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[\Psi(x)] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{J((1-\epsilon)\mu + \epsilon\nu) - J(\mu)}{\epsilon}$$

$$\Delta J \approx \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [\nabla J(\mu_0)(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_0} [\nabla J(\mu_0)(x)]$$

Generic descent algorithm: choose μ such that $\Delta J < 0$.

At every update, we'd like to find a distribution μ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x\sim\mu}[
abla J(\mu_0)(x)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{x\sim\mu_0}[
abla J(\mu_0)(x)]$$

PFD for Wasserstein GAN

 $J(\mu) = W_1(\mu, \nu_0)$

$$J^{\star}(arphi) = egin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim
u_0}[arphi(x)] & ext{if } arphi ext{ is 1-Lipschitz} \ \infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1) Fit a neural network by maximizing the inner objective (can use SGD)

$$abla J(\mu) = rgmax_{arphi \in \mathcal{C}(X)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [arphi(x)] - J^{\star}(arphi)
ight]$$

2) Take a gradient step on

$$heta \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu_ heta}[arphi(x)]$$

Probability functional descent

- 1. Compute or approximate $\nabla J(\mu_0)$
- 2. Take a gradient descent step on $\ heta\mapsto \mathbb{E}_{x\sim \mu_ heta}[
 abla J(\mu_{ heta_0})(x)]$

PFD for Wasserstein GAN

 $J(\mu) = W_1(\mu,
u_0)$

$$J^{\star}(arphi) = egin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim
u_0}[arphi(x)] & ext{if } arphi ext{ is 1-Lipschitz} \ \infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1) Fit a neural network by maximizing the inner objective (can use SGD)

$$abla J(\mu) = rgmax_{arphi \in \mathrm{Lip}_1(X)} \Big[\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [arphi(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim
u_0} [arphi(x)] \Big]$$

2) Take a gradient step on

$$heta\mapsto \mathbb{E}_{x\sim \mu_ heta}[arphi(x)]$$

Probability functional descent

- 1. Compute or approximate $\nabla J(\mu_0)$
- 2. Take a gradient descent step on $\ heta\mapsto \mathbb{E}_{x\sim \mu_ heta}[
 abla J(\mu_{ heta_0})(x)]$